

A combination of US macro data and Fed Chair Powell's semi-annual testimony to Congress lends encouraging insight toward the US macroeconomic picture. It positions the Fed chair as continuing to emphasize arguments that inform the length of a pause before potentially returning to hikes rather than playing to any more dovish bias that is already priced into markets. What follows are brief remarks on Powell's testimony, US consumer confidence and the Richmond Fed's regional manufacturing gauge following the earlier US housing starts drop that should be looked through ([here](#)).

## Powell And Data Are More Encouraging

- Chair Powell's opening remarks to the Senate Banking Committee testimony ([here](#)) generally sounded optimistic and played against market pricing for a rate cut. I believe it's the start of jawboning toward a return to Scotiabank Economics' forecast for two hikes over H2 that is likely to get more emphasis into the June and July FOMC meetings. Assumptions toward that path include expectations for rebounding higher frequency gauges of activity, a rebound in Q2 US GDP growth and a generally constructive view toward Powell's 'crosscurrents' including US-China trade negotiations that are proceeding well, Brexit that has diminished risk of an abrupt crashing out of the EU, and US debt ceiling developments that will need further time through Q2 to evaluate.
- **Specific language that indicates Powell is not courting rate cuts but is uncertain toward the length of a pause has been repeated in his statement:**

"...the extent and timing of any further rate increases would depend on incoming data and the evolving outlook..."
- **Also note that whereas the minutes to the January FOMC meeting mentioned the word 'downside' seven times, it did not appear once in Powell's opening remarks today.**
- **On balance sheet plans, I think it's worth re-emphasizing that the Fed isn't talking about potentially ending balance sheet run-off at year-end because of dovish considerations.** Rather, it's more about managing an excess reserves buffer. Here is the direct quote that reinforces this understanding:

"...with an ample supply of reserves so that active management of reserves is not required."
- Powell had previously explained that he think's reserve requirements will be lower than their padding, but they want a substantial buffer over this to avoid potential dysfunction in short-term rates and having to intervene to fine-tune reserves which could amplify volatility. So the changed information is with respect to their padding estimates, not their assumption on what reserves will actually be needed or the optimal size of the Fed's balance sheet. **It's about risk management to the base case rather than concern toward the base case forecast for required reserves.** It's much less about a negative, dovish signal. **Indeed, managing a reserves buffer that mitigates potential dysfunction in markets could make it easier to manage conventional policy through a return to rate hikes.**

### CONTACTS

Derek Holt, VP & Head of Capital Markets Economics  
416.863.7707  
Scotiabank Economics  
[derek.holt@scotiabank.com](mailto:derek.holt@scotiabank.com)

## US Consumer Confidence Rebounds

Actual: 131.4

Scotia: 123.0

Consensus: 124.9

Prior: 121.7 (revised up from 120.2)

- **US consumer confidence rebounded very smartly in February** and even after a small upward revision to January the February reading still jumped by about 10 points and exceeded consensus expectations by about seven points. That confidence readings are volatile and easily swung by current events like shutdown effects is amply evident here.
- This reverses about half of the December-January swoon (see chart). The other half will likely move up next month.
- Ditto for the expectations component that jumped by fourteen points to reverse just over half of the 25.7 point drop from the October peak through to January's revised reading and 27.8 points compared to the initial pre-revision reading for January.

**US Consumer Confidence Rebounds**



Sources: Scotiabank Economics, US Conference Board.

## Rebound In US Richmond Fed Measure Drives ISM Uncertainty

**Richmond Fed manufacturing Index, February, SA:**

Actual: 16

Consensus: 5

Scotia: n/a

Prior: -2

- I'm not quite sure what to think for the next ISM-mfrg when it gets updated next Friday. Little changed would be a reasonable view. Regardless, a smart 18 point rebound in the Richmond's regional manufacturing gauge is welcome evidence.
- The issue is that the two most important regional gauges are conflicting. A 21 point drop in the Philly Fed metric into contraction territory was reported on February 21st is now met by an 18 point jump in Richmond toward expansion again. They are the two most informative measures for ISM-mfrg among the regional gauges that themselves leave an incomplete picture. For instance, the regional surveys under sample the autos sector and feeder industries.
- The rise in the Empire and Dallas regional surveys is slightly constructive toward ISM expectations. The small decline in Markit's manufacturing PMI is a mild negative but tracks ISM fairly poorly over time.

This report has been prepared by Scotiabank Economics as a resource for the clients of Scotiabank. Opinions, estimates and projections contained herein are our own as of the date hereof and are subject to change without notice. The information and opinions contained herein have been compiled or arrived at from sources believed reliable but no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to their accuracy or completeness. Neither Scotiabank nor any of its officers, directors, partners, employees or affiliates accepts any liability whatsoever for any direct or consequential loss arising from any use of this report or its contents.

These reports are provided to you for informational purposes only. This report is not, and is not constructed as, an offer to sell or solicitation of any offer to buy any financial instrument, nor shall this report be construed as an opinion as to whether you should enter into any swap or trading strategy involving a swap or any other transaction. The information contained in this report is not intended to be, and does not constitute, a recommendation of a swap or trading strategy involving a swap within the meaning of U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission Regulation 23.434 and Appendix A thereto. This material is not intended to be individually tailored to your needs or characteristics and should not be viewed as a “call to action” or suggestion that you enter into a swap or trading strategy involving a swap or any other transaction. Scotiabank may engage in transactions in a manner inconsistent with the views discussed this report and may have positions, or be in the process of acquiring or disposing of positions, referred to in this report.

Scotiabank, its affiliates and any of their respective officers, directors and employees may from time to time take positions in currencies, act as managers, co-managers or underwriters of a public offering or act as principals or agents, deal in, own or act as market makers or advisors, brokers or commercial and/or investment bankers in relation to securities or related derivatives. As a result of these actions, Scotiabank may receive remuneration. All Scotiabank products and services are subject to the terms of applicable agreements and local regulations. Officers, directors and employees of Scotiabank and its affiliates may serve as directors of corporations.

Any securities discussed in this report may not be suitable for all investors. Scotiabank recommends that investors independently evaluate any issuer and security discussed in this report, and consult with any advisors they deem necessary prior to making any investment.

**This report and all information, opinions and conclusions contained in it are protected by copyright. This information may not be reproduced without the prior express written consent of Scotiabank.**

™ Trademark of The Bank of Nova Scotia. Used under license, where applicable.

Scotiabank, together with “Global Banking and Markets”, is a marketing name for the global corporate and investment banking and capital markets businesses of The Bank of Nova Scotia and certain of its affiliates in the countries where they operate, including, Scotiabanc Inc.; Citadel Hill Advisors L.L.C.; The Bank of Nova Scotia Trust Company of New York; Scotiabank Europe plc; Scotiabank (Ireland) Limited; Scotiabank Inverlat S.A., Institución de Banca Múltiple, Scotia Inverlat Casa de Bolsa S.A. de C.V., Scotia Inverlat Derivados S.A. de C.V. – all members of the Scotiabank group and authorized users of the Scotiabank mark. The Bank of Nova Scotia is incorporated in Canada with limited liability and is authorised and regulated by the Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions Canada. The Bank of Nova Scotia is authorised by the UK Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the UK Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the UK Prudential Regulation Authority. Details about the extent of The Bank of Nova Scotia’s regulation by the UK Prudential Regulation Authority are available from us on request. Scotiabank Europe plc is authorised by the UK Prudential Regulation Authority and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority and the UK Prudential Regulation Authority.

Scotiabank Inverlat, S.A., Scotia Inverlat Casa de Bolsa, S.A. de C.V., and Scotia Derivados, S.A. de C.V., are each authorized and regulated by the Mexican financial authorities.

Not all products and services are offered in all jurisdictions. Services described are available in jurisdictions where permitted by law.