

Initial Thoughts on Mexico's Budget

- **Budget targets a sensible 1.0% of GDP, in line with expectations.**
- **Economic assumptions appear ambitious and will make it challenging for the administration to meet its fiscal objective. Execution risk is high, and markets are unlikely to give the administration much breathing room if results fall short of plan.**

At first glance, the newly submitted Mexican budget looks prudent, and probably would have enjoyed a “benefit of the doubt” from global investors before the month of November hit confidence in the new administration hard. However, we fear that following the airport cancellation, the initial rejected MEXCAT bond offer, the referendums, and the signals that the government will move forward with all its spending pledges from the Presidential Campaign—the government has dented the confidence markets had in it, and our sense is this budget did not do enough to restore that dented credibility. In particular, we think that assumptions that could have been given the benefit of the doubt back in October, may face deeper scrutiny now.

Overall, we don't think the budget will fully restore market confidence in the administration as we think the targets of a 1.0% of GDP primary surplus could be missed by 25bps–75bps of GDP, and PSBR of 2.5% of GDP are likely to be missed by 50bps–120bps of GDP. These misses on their own, may not trigger credit rating downgrades for the sovereign—but in conjunction with what market sees as “waste of resources”, building what is seen as a financially unviable Mayan train, the Tabasco (and later on potentially Campeche) refinery, as well as other decisions such as canceling the Texcoco airport, could tip the balance for the start of a credit rating downgrade spiral. Also not helping, are likely to be the more uncertain business environments that a number of proposals by the Morena faction in Congress have made—and are likely to continue to do—alongside the policy management and ideas of the more radical members of the Cabinet. Even if this is indeed the case, we would be surprised to see downgrades take place before late–2019, or early 2020. Our take is the following assumptions are part of what we see as risks in this initial read of the budget:

- Growth for 2019 is assumed at 2.0% (a range of 1.5% to 2.5%). Our sense is this assumption is where consensus comes from, but with investor and corporate confidence shaken materially by a number of questionable decisions in the past 6 weeks. Our sense is that 2.0% is now “where we come from”, rather than where expectations are going. We expect investment by the private sector, and new employment will be severely dented by the decisions and policy proposals of the past few weeks, which is gradually being reflected on private sector forecasts. It was always tough for a new government to kick off with a 1.0%–1.5% growth forecast, especially one that promised broad based prosperity, but at this point markets need reassurance that the new administration will be prudent, so a lower forecast would have been better on the confidence front.

CONTACTS

Eduardo Suárez, VP, Latin America Economics
52.55.9179.5174 (Mexico)
Scotiabank Economics
eduardo.suarez@scotiabank.com

- Inflation is expected at 3.4% for 2019, which we are highly skeptical of. The argument is that this is the last forecast we got from Banxico (true), but given the uncertainty, and the volatility we've seen in MXN, we expect inflation will be higher than this. Related to inflation, the government is also assuming cetes rates at 8.3% (both average and end of period), which we are skeptical of. We would not be surprised if given the turn in Banxico's rhetoric, and rising FX volatility, we sit at 8.5% by next summer. If the new administration fails to restore government confidence, we could see 9.0% rates by the end of next year (or even higher).
- The price of the Mexican oil basket is assumed at US\$55/bl, which is reasonable.
- The financing costs of the government are only seen rising from 2.8% of GDP in 2018, to 3.0% in 2019, which seems optimistic. The government will need to roll-over and issue about US\$100bn of debt (roughly 1/6 of the total), and the peso curve has widened over 200bps, and could move more. Also, a continued weakening of MXN could hurt on dollar debt costs—although a weaker MXN also helps through oil-related revenues.
- On the public finance front, tax revenues are assumed to grow 7.0% y/y in real terms, which we think may not materialize due to: 1) growth may be weaker than the new government assumes, 2) the new administration's strategy to rely on citizen good will to collect taxes on SMEs may undermine tax collection, 3) the loss of experienced middle management in the government risks undermining the effectiveness of the government, including on tax collection.

Here is how much we think the above questions could cause in deviations from the proposed budget results:

Variable	Potential deviation in the assumption	Potential cost
Growth assumption	We believe growth could come in 50bps–150bps below the government's assumption	This would imply a hit to the budget of 6bps–18bps of GDP in the fiscal results
Interest rates	We fear the yield curve could end up trading 200bps–300bps wider than the government assumes	This would imply a hit to the fiscal results of 26bps–39bps of GDP
Tax collection undershooting	We think that a number of changes in the tax collection strategy, such as the border region tax incentives, and relying on the good will of SMEs to voluntarily pay taxes could result in lower tax revenues	Undershooting tax collection objectives could cost between 20bps and 60bps of GDP for the fiscal results

We would argue the more moderate members of the cabinet have their work cut out, trying to offset the headwinds caused by their more radical party / cabinet members. The bottom line, is we think the government will miss its budget targets by 50bps–120bps of GDP, assuming a form of inertial scenario, where confidence is dented—but not destroyed, and one in which the external environment remains supportive.

Besides the results on the fiscal accounts per-se, it will be important to monitor the evolution of the AMLO government's new programs. Some that we think should be watched closely include: 1) AMLO's youth employment program should be monitored to determine whether the youths are indeed employed by formal private sector employers (this program was allocated MXN44.3bn in 2019), 2) the impact on pledges to double elderly pensions on long run pension calculations is worth watching (for 2019, the program was budgeted MXN100.0bn). We did not yet see where the cost of the new refinery will fall.

We don't expect any credit rating downgrades. If we get to that stage, the task to stabilize public finances becomes materially more difficult. Taking into account the experience of emerging markets that have allowed fiscal slippage to kick in, it's possible to go from BBB / BBB+ to junk rated in 1.5–2.5 years. Thankfully, besides a reasonable fiscal stance, the new administration also inherited large positions in the oil stabilization funds which have about 1.5 points of GDP to absorb shocks. Hence, a loss of investment grade at this point looks quite remote.

This report has been prepared by Scotiabank Economics as a resource for the clients of Scotiabank. Opinions, estimates and projections contained herein are our own as of the date hereof and are subject to change without notice. The information and opinions contained herein have been compiled or arrived at from sources believed reliable but no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to their accuracy or completeness. Neither Scotiabank nor any of its officers, directors, partners, employees or affiliates accepts any liability whatsoever for any direct or consequential loss arising from any use of this report or its contents.

These reports are provided to you for informational purposes only. This report is not, and is not constructed as, an offer to sell or solicitation of any offer to buy any financial instrument, nor shall this report be construed as an opinion as to whether you should enter into any swap or trading strategy involving a swap or any other transaction. The information contained in this report is not intended to be, and does not constitute, a recommendation of a swap or trading strategy involving a swap within the meaning of U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission Regulation 23.434 and Appendix A thereto. This material is not intended to be individually tailored to your needs or characteristics and should not be viewed as a “call to action” or suggestion that you enter into a swap or trading strategy involving a swap or any other transaction. Scotiabank may engage in transactions in a manner inconsistent with the views discussed this report and may have positions, or be in the process of acquiring or disposing of positions, referred to in this report.

Scotiabank, its affiliates and any of their respective officers, directors and employees may from time to time take positions in currencies, act as managers, co-managers or underwriters of a public offering or act as principals or agents, deal in, own or act as market makers or advisors, brokers or commercial and/or investment bankers in relation to securities or related derivatives. As a result of these actions, Scotiabank may receive remuneration. All Scotiabank products and services are subject to the terms of applicable agreements and local regulations. Officers, directors and employees of Scotiabank and its affiliates may serve as directors of corporations.

Any securities discussed in this report may not be suitable for all investors. Scotiabank recommends that investors independently evaluate any issuer and security discussed in this report, and consult with any advisors they deem necessary prior to making any investment.

This report and all information, opinions and conclusions contained in it are protected by copyright. This information may not be reproduced without the prior express written consent of Scotiabank.

™ Trademark of The Bank of Nova Scotia. Used under license, where applicable.

Scotiabank, together with “Global Banking and Markets”, is a marketing name for the global corporate and investment banking and capital markets businesses of The Bank of Nova Scotia and certain of its affiliates in the countries where they operate, including, Scotiabanc Inc.; Citadel Hill Advisors L.L.C.; The Bank of Nova Scotia Trust Company of New York; Scotiabank Europe plc; Scotiabank (Ireland) Limited; Scotiabank Inverlat S.A., Institución de Banca Múltiple, Scotia Inverlat Casa de Bolsa S.A. de C.V., Scotia Inverlat Derivados S.A. de C.V. – all members of the Scotiabank group and authorized users of the Scotiabank mark. The Bank of Nova Scotia is incorporated in Canada with limited liability and is authorised and regulated by the Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions Canada. The Bank of Nova Scotia is authorised by the UK Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the UK Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the UK Prudential Regulation Authority. Details about the extent of The Bank of Nova Scotia's regulation by the UK Prudential Regulation Authority are available from us on request. Scotiabank Europe plc is authorised by the UK Prudential Regulation Authority and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority and the UK Prudential Regulation Authority.

Scotiabank Inverlat, S.A., Scotia Inverlat Casa de Bolsa, S.A. de C.V., and Scotia Derivados, S.A. de C.V., are each authorized and regulated by the Mexican financial authorities.

Not all products and services are offered in all jurisdictions. Services described are available in jurisdictions where permitted by law.