

Contributors

Juan Manuel Herrera

Director

+52.55.2299.6675 (Mexico)

juanmanuel.herrera@scotiabank.com

BoE Holds, Shows Limited Inflation Worries

- The Bank of England (BoE) left its policy rate unchanged at 3.75% this morning, as universally expected. The 6–3 hold-hike vote split was a bit more hawkish than expectations for a 7–2 breakdown.
- Despite the vote split, today’s announcement took on a slightly more neutral tone than anticipated. The BoE highlighted that “there have continued to be clear signs of underlying disinflation in recent data” and that there “is little evidence so far to suggest” that second-round effects from higher energy prices are materialising.
- The BoE returned to presenting a central scenario that sees inflation closing 2026 at 3.2%, then falling to 2.1% at end-2027, with GDP growing by a modest 1.1% each year.
- A trove of data awaits between now and the September decision. The BoE will get Q2 and July GDP, July and August CPI, July and August payrolls, and August PMIs. Markets see about a one-in-three chance of a hike in September.
- At writing, markets are pricing in a total of ~32bps in BoE hikes by year-end, down from ~42bps at yesterday’s local market close. We forecast unchanged rates over the forecast horizon to 2027.

The Bank of England (BoE) left its policy rate unchanged at 3.75% this morning, as universally expected by economists polled by Bloomberg and only fractional rate hike pricing in markets. The 6–3 hold-hike vote split was a bit more hawkish than expectations for a 7–2 breakdown, as Chief Economist Pill and external members Greene and Mann took the hawkish option (the first two also voted for a hike in June).

Despite the vote split, today’s announcement took on a slightly more neutral tone than anticipated across the combination of the BoE’s statement, the minutes to the meeting and the opinions expressed by the Monetary Policy Committee (MPC), updated forecasts and views in the Monetary Policy Report (MPR), and the post-decision press conference with Gov Bailey. At writing, markets are pricing in a total of ~32bps in BoE hikes by year-end, down from ~42bps at yesterday’s local market close.

The BoE highlighted that “there have continued to be clear signs of underlying disinflation in recent data” and that there “is little evidence so far to suggest” that second-round effects from higher energy prices are materialising. In relation to the release of updated forecasts in the MPR, it added that “the risks to the inflation outlook are tilted to the upside relative to the central projection in the July MPR” (partly due to the assumptions window for energy prices not reflecting the most recent moves). It kept guidance unchanged, as “the Committee stands ready to act as necessary” to ensure the convergence of inflation to the 2% target.

In our July forecast update, we removed the two 25bps hikes that we projected the BoE would roll out in 2026. This was on account of a (then) normalization of energy prices and to align with the BoE’s messaging that suggested that economic weakness offset inflationary risks. The latest jump in energy prices (oil and gas) and a return of elevated uncertainty around when the war and its associated disruptions may conclude could now point again to the possibility of tighter policy rates. The current environment of Brent crude oil around \$90/bbl and U.K. natural gas around £150p/therm lasting through the next five weeks until the September 17th decision could pressure the BoE into a 25bps hike then.

However, today’s messaging of no evidence of second-round effects and seeing a clear slowing of underlying inflation point to the BoE being content with an unchanged stance. Simply put, it could be too quick of a shift from its current stance to expect them to hike in

July 30, 2026

September. On the flip side, a trove of data awaits between now and that decision that could materially shift their perception (on top of whatever happens in energy markets). The BoE will get Q2 and July GDP, July and August CPI, July and August payrolls, and August PMIs, among other data releases as well as the Ofgem's (energy regulator) October–December energy bills price cap announcement in late-August. There is also the matter of fiscal policy announcements under newly-appointed Prime Minister Andy Burnham that could alter the inflation and growth outlook. So, while the current stance is suggestive of rate holds for the balance of the year before the BoE possibly considers rate cuts next year, hikes cannot be ruled out.

Among the six-strong majority, their views (published in the minutes accompanying the decision) do not suggest there will be another two that would flip in favour of voting for a hike at the next meeting. Gov Bailey noted that “the process of underlying disinflation that was intact prior to the conflict remains in train,” providing “some tentative evidence that inherited inflation persistence may be weaker than had been presumed.” He made no mention of possible tightening, contrary to the June minutes when he said he “would respond promptly” to signs of “stronger possible second-round effects.” In an interview to Bloomberg following the decision, he noted that upside rate risks are built into “sort of sensible” market pricing. Dep Gov Breeden had a similar risk assessment and also dropped her act-if-needed comment from the June gathering—one that Dep Gov Lombardelli maintained (she would be a possible hike vote). Dep Gov Ramsden pointed to conflicting inflationary forces, those from abroad to the upside and those at home to the downside. Were upside risks to materialize, he believes a hike may be warranted, though were they to subside, he would consider resuming the cutting cycle (he leans more towards cuts). External member Taylor said “the more likely outcomes sit between the baseline and milder [inflation] scenario,” noting that on-hold rates versus a lower “pre-conflict implied path gives insurance for now, before resuming cuts when and if geopolitical uncertainty clears.” Finally, external member Dhingra stuck to her usual dovishness, seeing “value in waiting.”

The BoE returned to presenting a central forecast, after being forced by uncertainty and volatility in energy markets into showing three alternative scenarios in the April MPR, though today it accompanied its baseline with two alternative scenarios: milder and adverse. The milder scenario sees oil and gas prices averaging ~5–10% lower than the baseline, while the adverse scenario takes oil prices 25–25% higher and gas prices 40–60% higher. In its central scenario, the BoE projects that inflation will close 2026 at 3.2% y/y, falling to 2.1% y/y at end-2027, compared to the more ‘benign’ scenario A from the April MPR which had Q4-26 at 3.6% but Q4-27 at 1.7%. The BoE's milder alternative sees inflation only a touch lower at end-2026 at 3% but falling all the way to 1.8% in Q4-27, and even reaching as low as 1.5% in early/mid-2028, while the adverse scenario envisions inflation at 3.8% in Q4-26 and 3.4% in Q4-27. Note that the BoE took average prices for the 15 working days to July 20th, 2026 for its assumptions, which means these are slightly biased lower compared to current prices on screens. The BoE projects GDP growth of 1.1% in each of 2026 and 2027 in its central scenario (with minimal, no greater than 0.1ppts deviations in its alternative scenarios), compared to 0.8% in 2026 and 1.0% in 2027 in scenarios A and B, and 0.7% in 2026 and 0.8% in 2027 in scenario C in the April MPR.

This report has been prepared by Scotiabank Economics as a resource for the clients of Scotiabank. Opinions, estimates and projections contained herein are our own as of the date hereof and are subject to change without notice. The information and opinions contained herein have been compiled or arrived at from sources believed reliable but no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to their accuracy or completeness. Neither Scotiabank nor any of its officers, directors, partners, employees or affiliates accepts any liability whatsoever for any direct or consequential loss arising from any use of this report or its contents.

These reports are provided to you for informational purposes only. This report is not, and is not constructed as, an offer to sell or solicitation of any offer to buy any financial instrument, nor shall this report be construed as an opinion as to whether you should enter into any swap or trading strategy involving a swap or any other transaction. The information contained in this report is not intended to be, and does not constitute, a recommendation of a swap or trading strategy involving a swap within the meaning of U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission Regulation 23.434 and Appendix A thereto. This material is not intended to be individually tailored to your needs or characteristics and should not be viewed as a “call to action” or suggestion that you enter into a swap or trading strategy involving a swap or any other transaction. Scotiabank may engage in transactions in a manner inconsistent with the views discussed this report and may have positions, or be in the process of acquiring or disposing of positions, referred to in this report.

Scotiabank, its affiliates and any of their respective officers, directors and employees may from time to time take positions in currencies, act as managers, co-managers or underwriters of a public offering or act as principals or agents, deal in, own or act as market makers or advisors, brokers or commercial and/or investment bankers in relation to securities or related derivatives. As a result of these actions, Scotiabank may receive remuneration. All Scotiabank products and services are subject to the terms of applicable agreements and local regulations. Officers, directors and employees of Scotiabank and its affiliates may serve as directors of corporations.

Any securities discussed in this report may not be suitable for all investors. Scotiabank recommends that investors independently evaluate any issuer and security discussed in this report, and consult with any advisors they deem necessary prior to making any investment.

This report and all information, opinions and conclusions contained in it are protected by copyright. This information may not be reproduced without the prior express written consent of Scotiabank.

™ Trademark of The Bank of Nova Scotia. Used under license, where applicable.

Scotiabank, together with “Global Banking and Markets”, is a marketing name for the global corporate and investment banking and capital markets businesses of The Bank of Nova Scotia and certain of its affiliates in the countries where they operate, including: Scotiabank Europe plc; Scotiabank (Ireland) Designated Activity Company; Scotiabank Inverlat S.A., Institución de Banca Múltiple, Grupo Financiero Scotiabank Inverlat, Scotia Inverlat Casa de Bolsa, S.A. de C.V., Grupo Financiero Scotiabank Inverlat, Scotia Inverlat Derivados S.A. de C.V. – all members of the Scotiabank group and authorized users of the Scotiabank mark. The Bank of Nova Scotia is incorporated in Canada with limited liability and is authorised and regulated by the Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions Canada. The Bank of Nova Scotia is authorized by the UK Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the UK Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the UK Prudential Regulation Authority. Details about the extent of The Bank of Nova Scotia's regulation by the UK Prudential Regulation Authority are available from us on request. Scotiabank Europe plc is authorized by the UK Prudential Regulation Authority and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority and the UK Prudential Regulation Authority.

Scotiabank Inverlat, S.A., Scotia Inverlat Casa de Bolsa, S.A. de C.V., Grupo Financiero Scotiabank Inverlat, and Scotia Inverlat Derivados, S.A. de C.V., are each authorized and regulated by the Mexican financial authorities.

Not all products and services are offered in all jurisdictions. Services described are available in jurisdictions where permitted by law.